دكتر اكرم فراهانى استادیار گروه شنوایی شناسی



Definitions

Central Auditory processing (CAP)

Central Auditory processing disorder (CAPD)















Central Auditory processing

The central auditory pathway processes incoming auditory stimuli through an intricate interaction between several structures in the central auditory nervous system.

The complexity of the central auditory nervous system (CANS) is unrivaled by other human sensory systems. Comprised of multiple parallel pathways consisting of many intricately interconnected nuclei, the system is capable of processing information with remarkable speed and efficiency.



Hierarchy of Auditory Processing Skills

Step 1: Auditory awareness: includes being aware localizing, and attention

Step 2: Auditory discrimination: discriminating environmental sounds, suprasegmentals (non-speech sounds rate, prosody, pitch) and segmental (speech sounds).

Step 3: Auditory Identification: auditory association, auditory feedback, phonological awareness.

Step 4: Auditory Comprehension:

understanding longer conversations, stories, following directions, auditory Easter closure (filling in the missing pieces), auditory memory, linguistic auditory processing (interpret, retain, organize, and manipulate spoken language for the purpose learning and communication)



Bottom-up and Top-down Processing

Bottom-up: In order to be able to listen and understand what is being said, a person must detect the speech sound, focus on the speech sound, determine where the speech sound comes from, and separate it from background noise. Hearing and bottom-up auditory processing of the sound alone is not sufficient for interpreting and understanding the auditory stimulus.

Top-down: Various skills, such as guided attention, memory and language skills, that is, the so called top-down cognitive processes, play a role in correctly interpreting and understanding the message.

(Bellis, 2011; Moore, 2012)



Bottom-up and Top-down Processing

In the ultimate processing of auditory input, both bottom-up factors (sensory encoding) and top-down factors (cognition, language and other higher-order functions) work together (Bellis, 2011). Even the simplest auditory signals are influenced by higher cognitive factors, such as memory, attention and learning.

Thus, in addition to a well-functioning peripheral hearing and wellprocessing of the auditory signal, listening requires focus to the speech stimuli and the involvement of memory, intelligence and language skills (British Society of Audiology (BSA), 2007).

Therefore, the processing of auditory information comprises both bottom-up and top-down processing.



Central Auditory processing

Hearing vs. listening

Hearing is a sense whereas the listening effort involved with listening comprehension, is a skill that requires attention and intention to access and use the information that is heard.

Comprehension involves the reception and interpretation of the meaning and intent of the information and reacting or communicating involves the effective use and transfer of information.

(Kiessling et al., 2003; Pichora-Fuller & Singh, 2006)



Central Auditory processing

Sound localization and lateralization: ability to know where sound has occurred in space. Auditory discrimination: ability to distinguish one sound from another **Auditory pattern recognition:** ability to determine similarities and differences in patterns of sounds

another

Auditory performance decrements: ability to perceive speech or other sounds when another signal is present

which some of the information is missing.

- **Temporal aspects:** abilities to sequence sounds, integrate a sequence of sounds into meaningful combinations, and perceive sounds as separate when they quickly follow one
- Auditory performance with degraded acoustic signals: ability to perceive a signal in

Development of Auditory Processing Abilities

- cochlea is mature at birth
- adult-like listening skills take time to develop with some arising earlier in development than others.
- latency maturation of event-related potentials (ERPs) are developed by two-years-old and middle-latency ERPs by four-years-old (Ponton et al., 1992). Long latencies N1 and P1 were shown to continue maturing until at least the second decade of life (Ponton et al., 2000).
 These developmental trends in evoked potentials reflect a protracted period of development in the central auditory system, which may contribute to agerelated differences in auditory task performance during development.





- when someone talks about hearing abilities, we think primarily of the processing that occurs in the ear; that is, the ability to detect the presence of sound.
- Likewise, when someone is described as having a hearing loss, we assume that this individual has lost all or part of the ability to detect the presence of sound.
- However, the ability to detect the presence of sounds is only one part of the processing that occurs within the auditory system.



Speech						
	SRT	PTA	SL	%	SL	%
R	15		40m	100		Ę
L	10		40m	100	ĺ.	2

I	ympanometry
Right	Туро
Volume	(ml):
Static In	mmittance (mmohs):
	Arrest and a second sec

1	Type	A	0	
ma	(ml)			

Peak Pressure (daPa): Static Immittance (mmohs

Acou	stic	Ref	ex	T
100 B 100 B				-

Probe tone ear	R	L	R	L
Test lone ear	L	R	R	L
500 Hz	75	80	90	80
1000 Hz	75	80	85	80
2000 Hz	75	80	85	95
4000 Hz	70	70	80	85

-	ene e	-
		1
	~~	
T	_	
┝		-
-	_	-
┢		
	-	

Central Auditory processing

Auditory Processing vs. Hearing Sensitivity

Auditory processing tasks are

designed to measure how well

auditory information is processed.

Example

A child may easily detect that the teacher is talking (sensitivity), but may not be able to process the message if the pencil sharpener is being used, classmates are talking, or if there are other distractions outside of the classroom.

ozella.brundidge@gmail.com 9/25/2017



Hearing sensitivity tests are

designed to measure how well a

person detects sound.

(K. D., Au-D, CCC-A Clinical Audiologist, July 17, 2007)

- effectively.
 - of motivation, or some other cause.





• There are many individuals who have no trouble detecting the presence of sound, but who have other types of auditory difficulties (e.g., difficulties understanding conversations in noisy environments, problems following complex directions, difficulty learning new vocabulary words or foreign languages) that can affect their ability to develop normal language skills, succeed academically, or communicate

Since they appear to "hear normally," the difficulties these individuals experience are often presumed to be the result of an attention deficit, a behavior problem, a lack



J Datr audi 50

categorizing and mparing 8 Sorting,

eption

0

Ditary gland

IC: Form full spatial map, Parallel processing paths join, History dependent

Pons

Medula oblongata

Hypothalamus-

Mammillary body"

Pineal gland

VNLL: Fed by contralateral CN

MSO: Detect interaural time LSO: Detect interaural level

AVCN: Frequency analysis, PVCN: Timing well preserved DCN: Inhibitory circuits, pinna cue detection? Parallel processing

Needs to be fed to develop & maintain





The term CAPD encompasses a broad range of deficits that occur when neural processes underlying the analysis of auditory information by the brain are functionally compromised.





- sound perception (Hinchcliffe, 1992).
- & Bishop, 2009).
- Auditory processing disorders take place in the brain, not in the ear. difficulty processing sounds in a meaningful way.



• CAPD was first proposed as a diagnostic label in adults with normal hearing sensitivity and acquired lesions in the central auditory system who experienced difficulties with

• The diagnosis was eventually expanded to include children who had normal peripheral hearing and academic difficulties without defined lesions of the auditory system (Dawes

• A child with CAPD may test "normal" on a hearing assessment but have tremendous



- Difficulties in the processing of auditory information in the central nervous system (CNS) as demonstrated by poor performance in one or more of the following skills:
- sound localization and lateralization
- auditory discrimination
- auditory pattern recognition
- temporal aspects of audition
- auditory performance in competing acoustic signals
- auditory performance with degraded acoustic signals



Behavioral characteristics in CAPD

- Since speech and language skills are developed most efficiently through the auditory sensory modality, it is not unusual to observe speech and language problems, as well as academic problems (many of them language-based), in children with CAPD.
- it should be recognized that the presence of a CAPD places the child at risk for developing many of these language and academic problems.





Behavioral characteristics in CAPD

- Appears to have hearing loss despite normal hearing
- Difficulty hearing in noise
- Difficulty following oral instructions
- School performance poorer than expected
- Easily distracted by noise
- Mishearing or misunderstanding
- Frequent requests for repetition
- Short attention span for listening
- Poor reading or spelling abilities
- Poor musical ability
- Disruptive behaviours
- History of multiple ear infections (OSLA, 2017)









Behavioral characteristics in CAPD

□ It should be noted that many of these behavioral characteristics are not unique to CAPD. Some may also be noted in individuals with other types of deficits or disorders, such as Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)

- specific language impairment (SLI)
- global cognitive deficits \bullet
- hearing loss \bullet
- behavioral problems
- Learning difficulties (LD) lacksquare
- dyslexia

Therefore, one should not necessarily assume that the presence of any one or more of these behaviors indicates that the child has a CAPD. • However, if any of these behaviors are noted, the child should be considered at risk for CAPD and referred for appropriate testing.



Prevalence of CAPD

Children: <u>3%–5%</u> (Chermak & Musiek, 1997; Palfery & Duff, 2007). 7.<u>3%–96%</u> (Wilson & Arnott, 2013).

□ Male to female ratio of <u>2:1</u> (Chermak & Musiek, 1997; Palfery & Duff, 2007).

□ Adults 55 and older: <u>23%–76%</u> (Cooper & Gages, 1991; Golding, Carter, Mitchell, &

Hood,2004; Stach, Spretnjak, & Jerger, 1990).



Etiology of CAPD

- > Age-related changes in CANS function
- Genetic determinants
- > Neurological disorder, disease, or damage
- Brain injury (e.g., head trauma, meningitis)
- Cerebrovascular disorder (e.g., stroke)
- Degenerative diseases (e.g., multiple) sclerosis)

- (CNS)
- > Seizure disorders
- otitis media)
- Prenatal/neonatal factors



Exposure to neurotoxins (e.g., heavy) metals, organic solvents)

Lesions of the central nervous system

> Neuromaturational delay secondary to deafness/auditory deprivation

Otologic disorder, disease, or injury (e.g., auditory deprivation secondary to recurrent

Anoxia/hypoxia

Cytomegalovirus (CMV)

➢ Hyperbilirubinemia

Low birth weight

> Prematurity

Prenatal drug exposure



Diagnosing CAPD

following requirements for child/adult refer to diagnosing CAPD (Kent, 2002):

- \checkmark Be at least 7 years old
- ✓ Have passed a hearing screening
- \checkmark Have an IQ of 85 or higher
- \checkmark Have had a recent psychoeducational assessment to determine learning disability, attention deficit, or emotional problems and performance related to cognitive ability
- \checkmark Have had a speech and language assessment within the year that examines auditory processing skills ✓ Have intelligible speech
 - Be able to follow directions and complete the APD testing



Diagnosing CAPD

- As myelination and maturation continue in children until age 10-12, sensory representations in the young brain may change in response to altered receptors, sensory environment, and learning (Bamiou, Musiek, & Luxon, 2001).
- From the age of six, the capacity to process information markedly increases and the control of attention and memory improves (Verhulst,2017).
- Central auditory processing assessments may not be appropriate for children with significant developmental delays (i.e., cognitive deficits) or children under the age of 7 years.

Diagnosing CAPD

• While several test norms require a child to be 7 years or older, a growing Campbell, & Sirimanna, 2013).

number of tests are aimed at identifying APD in younger ages where early intervention is likely to be more effective. Listening and communication checklists, and tests of language and cognition may be used to identify younger children "at risk" for auditory difficulties (Moore, Rosen, Bamiou,

Initial Assessment

- The identification of APD is a challenging, complex, and multi-step process. testing is conducted.
- The purpose of the initial screening is to identify those children suspected of referred for the formal APD evaluation (Johnson et al, 1997).

Initial and secondary screenings often take place before any formal diagnostic

having APD, while the second screening aims to determine who should be

Initial Assessment

- thorough history
- baseline auditory evaluation which should include:
 - pure tone audiometry
 - immittance (tympanograms and acoustic reflexes)
 - otoacoustic emissions
 - auditory brainstem evoked responses
- This initial assessment should then be followed by a central auditory test \bullet battery.



CAPD test battery

- CAPD can be identified through the use of tests that are directed toward performance of auditory skills and the processing of auditory stimuli.
- There are numerous auditory tests that can use to assess central auditory function. These fall into two major categories:
 - behavioral tests
 - electrophysiologic tests

CAPD test battery

Behavioral tests are often broken down into four subcategories, including;

- monaural low-redundancy speech tests
- 2. dichotic speech tests
- temporal patterning tests 3.
- binaural interaction tests 4.

be given a test from each of these categories.



It should be noted that children being assessed for CAPD will not necessarily

CAPD test battery

Electrophysiologic tests are measures the electrical potentials that arise from the central nervous system in response to an auditory stimulus.

Some electrophysiologic tests are used to evaluate processing lower in the brain (auditory brainstem response audiometry), whereas others assess responses, auditory cognitive or P300 responses). The results obtained on these tests are compared to age-appropriate norms to determine if any abnormalities exist.

- functioning higher in the brain (middle latency responses, late auditory evoked

Differential diagnosis

- Johnson et al (1997) discuss that most children with APD have normal intelligence and normal hearing sensitivity; however, it can co-exist with hearing loss or other cognitive or neurological deficits.
- CAPD may lead to or be associated with difficulties in higher order language, learning, and communication functions.



Differential diagnosis

- Each person is affected in a different way and to a different degree, so APD may be called a 'spectrum'.
- Some children have a greater range of difficulties than others.
- The effect of APD can be worsened by the presence of other conditions, such as dyslexia, language processing difficulties, poor attention and poor short-term memory.



Differential diagnosis of CAPD

- APD must therefore be differentiated from impairment in higher order language, cognition, attention or memory.
- However, APD may coexist with such impairments, and the APD population may be quite heterogeneous, highlighting the need of a multidisciplinary approach to assessment, differential diagnosis and intervention.



Interdisciplinary Contributions to Assessment

Various professionals may be involved in providing essential information during the assessment period.

- ✓ **Speech language pathologist**, for evaluation and management of language disorders
- disorder, including (Culbertson, 1981):

-Determining cognitive ability

- Examining perceptual modes of learning (auditory, visual, motor, etc)
- Observing child's communication style
- Evaluating academic strengths and weaknesses
- -Examining social/emotional adaptation

Special Education, for specialization in learning disabilities, especially in reading disorders



• **Psychologist**, for goals similar to those children with any types of communication or learning

Interdisciplinary Contributions to Assessment

- \checkmark delay
- **Otolaryngologist**, for treatment of any middle ear disorder prior to APD assessment \checkmark
- \checkmark medical problems
 - **Classroom Teacher**, for implementation of educational modifications
 - implemented



Pediatric Neurologist, for evaluation of neurologic disorders such as seizure and developmental

Child Development Center, for children with multiple psychoeducational, communicative, and/or

Parents, for implementation of home management and overseeing that all recommendations are

Child advocate, for assisting parents in ensuring an appropriate education plan is carried out fully



Appt. 1: Pre-Auditory **Processing Evaluation**

- Case History
- Audiogram
- Typanogram, Acoustic Reflexes and Decay
- Otoacoustic Emissions
- Auditory Brainstem Testing

Appt. 2: Auditory **Processing Evaluation**

*entirely in audiologist

 Comprehensive Auditory Processing **Testing Battery** soundbooth with

Appt. 3: Communication Assessment & Treatment Planning

- Speech-language pathologist will determine if/what additional testing is necessary
- Develop a customized treatment plan

Brain plasticity

- The brain has an inherent capacity for plasticity, i.e. the sensory representations may change in response to altered receptors, sensory environment or use and learning.
- For example, it is well established that stimulation or deprivation of stimulation can alter the number of synapses and synaptic density of both the developing and the mature brain. Plasticity is underpinned by neurochemical, physiological as well as structural changes and may also be associated with behavioural change.



Brain plasticity

- In general, there are three types of plasticity:
- Developmental
- Compensatory (after lesions/damage) -----
- Learning related, which is highly task-specific

• Early identification of CAPD and intervention is important as brain plasticity is greater the younger the individual's age.



- No pharmacologic agent has been demonstrated as effective specifically for CAPD.
- Interventions for CAPD focuses on improving the quality of the acoustic signal and the listening environment, improving auditory skills, and enhancing utilization of metacognitive and language resources.



- 'bottom-up' manner.
- addressed through 'top-down' intervention techniques.
- existing knowledge.
- \bullet letters/words to a complete message, i.e. attaching meanings.

• test-driven or profile-driven Intervention for APD is currently deficit specific, i.e. it is based on identification and treatment of the primary deficits caused by a disease in a

• In addition, the disease related sequelae, e.g. language, learning, communication are

• The 'top-down' refers to the use of the general knowledge shared by listeners in a communicative situation, which leads to the development of presuppositions and expectations, so that words heard in a certain context are interpreted on the basis of pre-

Bottom-up refers to use of acoustic information (decoding) combined to form meaningful auditory signals and then passed on to the higher processes for further analysis: from

What Management Strategies Can Be Used To Remediate CAPD?

• The exact procedures or approaches used will depend upon a number of factors, including the exact nature of the CAPD, the age of the child, the co-existence of other disabilities and/or problems, and the availability of resources.

Treatment of APD generally focuses on three primary areas:

- 1. changing the learning or communication environment
- 2. recruiting higher-order skills to help compensate for the disorder Auditory
- 3. remediation of the auditory deficit itself (Teri James Bellis, 2017)

es on three primary areas: unication environment help compensate for the

Auditory Processing Remediation





- consideration of the following when selecting interventions for the management of CAPD:
- No one intervention is appropriate for all individuals.
- May need a combination of strategies.
 intervention must correlate specifically to the presenting observable behaviors and underlying weaknesses that necessitated the original referral.
 intervention must correlate specifically to the individual person's test results.
 intervention should be hierarchical in nature, rather than randomly selected "auditory" tasks.
- intervention effectiveness should be documented and reassessed at regular intervals.

alacting interventions for the management

Thank you!

